Security Workshop

LSE Team

EPITA 2018

February 3rd, 2016



What is this talk about?

- Presentation of some basic memory corruption bugs
- Presentation of some simple protections
- Writing some (really basic) exploits

Notes:

- Sources of the exercises/examples at https://www.lse.epita.fr/data/workshop-secu.tar.gz
- Lots of them come from http://www.exploit-exercises.com





Exploitation 101

- ASM for exploitation
- Shellcodes
- Buffer Overflows
 - Stack overflow
 - Heap overflow
- Format string
- Preventions
 - DEP
 - ASLR
 - PIE
- ROP
- Going further



Exploitation 101

- ASM for exploitation
 - %eip: Program counter: pointer to instruction to be executed
 - %esp: Stack pointer



Exploitation 101 - Push



Figure: Push



Exploitation 101 - Pop



Figure: Pop



Exploitation 101 - jmp, call, ret & int 0x80

jmp XXX: %eip = XXXcall XXX:

push %eipjmp XXX

- ret: pop %eip
- int \$0x80: syscall



- "A shellcode is a small piece of code used as the payload in the exploitation of a software vulnerability." (Wikipedia)
- Called shellcode because the usual goal is to get a shell.
- In general it is the final step of exploitation.
- Triggering the vulnerability allows you to "jump" on your shellcode.



There is lots of methods used when writing shellcodes:

- Nop sled
- Null-free (or any other kind of restriction)
- multi-staged shellcode
- self-deciphering shellcode
- ...



Exercise steps (shellcode)

```
int main()
{
    char input[4096];
    open("flag", O_RDONLY);
    read(0, input, 4096);
    ((func)&input)();
    return 0;
}
```

- Find a place to write the data
- Read the content of the open file into a buffer
- Write the content of the buffer to STDOUT
- No null bytes (shellcode1)



Buffer overflows: Generality

- Really simple principle
- Possibility of writing past the bounds of a buffer (wherever it is)
- When?
 - Some functions trigger a BOF in "almost" every case (gets, strcpy, ...)
 - Just bad code...
- Two major categories (stack and heap), but virtually anywhere



- A memory zone (like the heap)
- Used for:
 - Passing arguments (calling convention dependant, but for x86_32 it's generally on the stack)
 - Local variables
 - Return address of the functions



Stack overflows: The stack ?

Parent frame return address stack frame pointer Buffer

Figure: The stack



Exercise: overflow0

}

```
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <unistd.h>
#include <stdio.h>
int main(int argc, char **argv)
ł
    volatile int modified;
    char buffer [64];
    modified = 0;
    gets(buffer);
    if (modified != 0)
        printf("you have changed %d\n", modified);
    else
        printf("Try again?\n");
```



Exercise: overflow1

}

```
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <unistd.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>
// gcc -m32 -o main main.c
```

```
void win()
{
    printf("code flow successfully changed\n");
}
int main(int argc, char **argv)
{
    char buffer[64];
    gets(buffer);
```



Stack overflows prevention: Canary

```
#include <stdio.h>
// gcc -fstack-protector-all example.c
int example(void)
ł
    return getchar();
}
int main(void)
ł
    return example();
}
```



Stack overflows prevention: Canary

Parent frame return address stack frame pointer canary Buffer

Figure: The stack with the canary



17/40

Stack overflows prevention: Canary

| push mov | %rbp %rsp,%rbp | | |
|-------------|------------------------------------|---|-------------------|
| sub | \$0x10,%rsp | ; | Allocate slot |
| mov | %fs:0x28, %rax | ; | Get canary |
| mov | %rax,-0x8(%rbp) | ; | "Push" it. |
| xor | %eax,%eax | | |
| callq | 400460 <getchar@plt></getchar@plt> | | |
| mov | -0x8(%rbp),%rdx | ; | Take it back |
| xor | %fs:0x28,%rdx | ; | xor with original |
| io | 100596 (arample+0 x 30) | | |

je 400596 <example+0x30>
callq 400450 <__stack_chk_fail@plt> ; If not equal, abort
leaveq
retq

- Overflow the buffer byte by byte to leak the canary
- Overwrite it and the return address
- Take control of the instruction flow



- The heap is just another memory zone
- Used when calling malloc, new...
- No return address to overwrite on the heap :(
- The goal is to rewrite:
 - a pointer (hopefully leading to a write-what-where)
 - a function pointer (hopefully called later)
 - some metadata (like the malloc metadata)
 - a vtable pointer (C++ code only)



Heap overflow - Example



Figure: Clean heap



strcpy(i1->name, argv(1));



Figure: Overflow



Write-What-Where

- write-what-where: we can write what we want where we want (almost always equivalent to success)
- what can we possibly want to rewrite?
 - A stack return? Good if we don't have ASLR, but what if we have some?
 - A function pointer? Sure if we have one, and know where it is
 - The GOT? Almost always one, not affected by ASLR (but if we have PIE we are doomed)



PLT & GOT



Figure: PLT/GOT



PLT & GOT



Figure: PLT/GOT



- Find exit's PLT entry
- Rewrite the second allocation metadata
- Write over exit's PLT entry with winner address



26/40

- Remember that va_arg doesn't know the function's arity? That's quite sad...
- What can we do with it?
 - We can obviously leak data...
 - What about %n? The number of characters written so far is stored into the integer specified by the int* (or variant) pointer argument. No argument is converted.



```
int i;
printf("%s%n", "Hello", &i);
```

∎ i == 5

- We can write to the address of a given argument (&i) the number we want. We just need to control the address to have a write-what-where.
- At one point the arguments are taken from the stack (va_arg). If the buffer was once on the stack: we can take the content of the buffer as argument.
- Lets get the address we want in the buffer and take this one as argument for the %n: we have a write-what-where!



%(num)\$(option) takes the arg. num for option

■ %2\$x: draws the hex value of the second arg.

 %.(num)(option) draws at least num byte(s) (actually depends on the given option)

■ %.200x: draws the first arg with at least 200 chars

- %n writes an int at the address
- %hn writes a short at the address
- %hhn writes a byte at the address



Example

```
int main()
ł
        // Oxcafe = 51966
        long i = 0x22222222;
        printf("i = 0x%x n", i);
        printf("%.51966x%1$hn", &i);
        printf("\ni = 0x\%x\n", i);
}
$ ./format
i = 0x22222222
. . .
i = 0x222cafe
```



Find the return address on the stack
 Overwrite it with hello's address



- Data Execution Prevention (NX, W[^]X,...)
- Basic idea is Write XOR Execute
- You can't execute code on your stack, heap...



- Address space layout randomization
- If not enabled, everything is always at the same address (the stack, the heap, the libraries...)
- When enabled the base address of the stack, the heap and the libraries are randomized.
- But the address of the loaded binary is not.
- echo 1 > /proc/sys/kernel/randomize_va_space



- Position-independent executable
- Like ASLR but with the base of the binary randomized.
- \blacksquare echo 2 > /proc/sys/kernel/randomize_va_space
- -fpie for gcc, -pie for ld



■ We need ON address leak

- Then, we can calculate the position of the base address
 - offset = ref_leaked_addr ref_base_address
 - base = leaked_addr offset
- Once we have the base address, ASLR is down. Really simple once we have a leak...



- Return Oriented Programming.
- The idea: Rewrite the whole stack and use returns to call the parts of code we want.
- With DEP, we can't inject our own code and get it executed. So we just reuse code from the binary.
- No good technique (yet) to prevent ROP, but quite painful to write.
- In x86, ROP is simpler because the calling convention uses the stack while it uses registers in x64.



- To ROP, we need sequences of instructions ending with ret (or something like call *%eax).
- This kind of sequence is called a gadget
- A typical gadget, is something like pop [REG]; ret
- Some tools for finding gadgets already exist:
 - ropmount by Hakril
 - ROPgadget by JonathanSalwan
 - rp by 0vercl0ck



Exercise steps (rop)

- 1 exercises, 3 versions
- Modification of a DEFCON Quals 2013 exercise.
- The goal is to call system from libc...
- Probably an infinity of solutions...
- Easy: x86 no stack protection, no ASLR
- Half: x64 stack-protection, no ASLR
- Hard: x64 stack-protection, PIE
- Toggling ASLR/PIE:
 - x=0: no ASLR noPIE
 - x=1: ASLR no PIE
 - x=2: ASLR PIE

echo \$x > /proc/sys/kernel/randomize_va_space



- Other vulnerability types (use-after-free, off-by-one, heap spraying)
- Vulnerability discovery (fuzzer, static analysis...)
- Metadata corruptions
- Sandbox escape
- Kernel exploitation
- Windows exploitation



Links

- ctf.lse.epita.fr
- exploit-exercises.com/
- https://www.root-me.org
- crackme.de
- reddit.com/r/netsec
- bugtrack
- fulldisclosure
- phrack.org

